1 April 2016
EMA/CHMP/158772/2016
Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

Prasugrel film-coated tablets 5 and 10 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance*

Draft agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	April 2015
Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	25 June 2015
Start of public consultation	15 July 2015
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	1 November 2015
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	23 February 2016
Adoption by CHMP	1 April 2016
Date for coming into effect	1 November 2016

*This guideline was previously published as part of a "compilation of individual product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence Rev.3 EMA/CHMP/736403/2014"

Keywords	***Bioequivalence, generics, prasugrel***

30 Churchill Place ● Canary Wharf ● London E14 5EU ● United Kingdom
Telephone +44 (0)20 3660 6000 **Facsimile** +44 (0)20 3660 5555
Send a question via our website www.ema.europa.eu/contact

Prasugrel film-coated tablets 5 and 10 mg product-specific bioequivalence 10 guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (PKWP)*

BCS Classification**	**BCS Class:** ☐ **I** ☐ **III** ☒ **Neither of the two** **Background:** prasugrel may be considered a low solubility compound.
Bioequivalence study design *in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied*	**single dose** **cross-over**
	healthy volunteers
	☒ **fasting** ☐ **fed** ☐ **both** ☐ **either fasting or fed**
	Strength: 10 mg **Background:** highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.
	Number of studies: one single dose study

Analyte	☐ **parent** ☒ **metabolite** ☐ **both** **Background:** the parent compound is not detected in human or animal plasma (or other biological matrix). Bioequivalence should be based on the first metabolite, R-95913.
	☒ **plasma/serum** ☐ **blood** ☐ **urine**
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ **yes** ☒ **no**
Bioequivalence assessment	**Main pharmacokinetic variables:** AUC_{0-t} and C_{max}
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

* As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CV_{intra} > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

** This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III). The Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).