

22 June 2017 EMA/CHMP/805507/2016 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

## Elvitegravir film-coated tablets 85 mg and 150 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Draft agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	October 2016
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	15 December 2016
Start of public consultation	22 December 2016
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 March 2017
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	April 2017
Adopted by CHMP	22 June 2017
Date of coming into effect	1 January 2018

Keywords	Bioequivalence, generics, elvitegravir
----------	--



## Elvitegravir film-coated tablets 85 mg and 150 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

## Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (PKWP)\*

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I I III Neither of the two  Background: Elvitegravir may be considered a low solubility compound.
Bioequivalence study design  in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☑ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed
	Strength: 85 mg and 150 mg
	<b>Background:</b> For drugs with a less than proportional increase in AUC with increasing dose over the therapeutic dose range, bioequivalence should in most cases be established both at the highest strength and at the lowest strength (or a strength in the linear range), i.e. in this situation two bioequivalence

	studies are needed.
	Number of studies: two single dose studies
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: $AUC_{0-t}$ and $C_{max}$
	<b>90% confidence interval:</b> 80.00–125.00%

<sup>\*</sup> As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of  $C_{max}$ . If high intra-individual variability ( $CV_{intra} > 30\%$ ) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary (BCS Class I and III), the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. *in vitro* dissolution being less than 85% within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).